

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

SHARNEZ KAVONN (LIPSCOMB) HAGER,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRINKER TEXAS, INC. D/B/A
CHILI'S BAR & GRILL.,

Defendant.


§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:19-cv-00595

JURY VERDICT FORM

The court gave the following Verdict Form to the jury on April 16, 2025.

Signed at Houston, Texas, on April 16, 2025.



Christina A. Bryan
United States Magistrate Judge

QUESTION 1:

Did Ms. Hager prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Brinker, which is a place of public accommodation, intentionally denied her the full benefit and enjoyment of Brinker goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations because of her race?

Yes _____

No ☒ _____

QUESTION 2:

Did Ms. Hager prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Brinker intentionally denied her the right to make and enforce a contract for Brinker's goods and services because of her race?

Yes _____

No ☒ _____

QUESTION 3:

Did Ms. Hager prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Brinker intentionally denied her the right to purchase Brinker's goods and services because of her race?

Yes _____

No ☒ _____

QUESTION 4:

If you answered “no” to both Questions 2 and 3, you do not need to answer any further Questions.

If you answered “yes” to Question 2, Question 3, or both Questions 2 and 3, answer this question: Did Ms. Hager prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she suffered compensatory or nominal monetary damages as a result of Brinker’s discrimination?

Yes _____

No _____

QUESTION 5:

If you answered “yes” to Question 4, what amount of monetary damages did Ms. Hager prove she is entitled to receive?

Compensatory: _____

Nominal: _____

QUESTION 6:

Did Ms. Hager prove by clear and convincing evidence that

- Brinker knew or should have known of an employee’s malicious or reckless indifference to Ms. Hager’s federally protected rights and took no action,
- or
- authorized, ratified, or approved an employee’s malicious or reckless indifference to Ms. Hager’s federally protected rights.

Yes _____

No _____